10. V. A. Gorelov and L. A. Kil'dyushova, "Features of electrical probe characteristics in a transfer regime in a supersonic plasma flow," Teplofia. Vys. Temp., 23, No. 2 (1985).
11. Chen Sin-Li and T. Sekiguchi, "Instantaneous direct-display system of plasma parameters by means of a triple probe," J. Appl. Phys., 36, No. 10 (1965).
12. N. M. Kuznetsov, Thermodynamic Functions and Shock Adiabats for Air at High Temperatures [in Russian], Mashinostroenie, Moscow (1965).
13. G. Grim, Plasma Spectroscopy [in Russian], Atomizdat, Moscow (1969).
14. V. A. Gorelov, A. S. Korolev, and V. S. Nikol'skii, "Ionization of a gas in a viscous shock layer and modeling of this process in a laboratory experiment," Zh. Prikl. Mekh. Tekh. Fiz., No. 6 (1985).

DIFFUSION MODEL OF VIBRATIONAL RELAXATION IN A BINARY MIXTURE OF DIATOMIC MOLECULES (QUANTUM OSCILLATORS)
O. V. Skrebkov

UDC 533.601 .18

Chemical activation of a system involving the crossing of an activation barrier was treated for the first time by Kramers [1] as a stochastic diffusion process in phase space with the use of the formalism of the theory of Brownian motion due to Einstein. In later years the diffusion model was applied to various kinetic processes such as condensation [2], electronic excitation and ionization [2], the approach to equilibrium of the translational degrees of freedom of molecules (TT-relaxation) [3], rotational-translational RTT and RTrelaxation [4, 5], vibrational-translational VT-relaxation [6-9] (including dissociation and recombination [10-12] and radiative deactivation [13]), vibrational-rotational-translational VRT-relaxation and dissociation [14], vibrational VVT-relaxation in a one-component gas [15i7] (including loss of excited particles in chemical reactions [18]), and vibrational VVV'Trelaxation in binary gas mixtures [19-22].

In all of these cases the term "diffusion process" refers to one-dimensional [1-3, 5-18, 20-23] or two-dimensional [4, 14, 19] diffusion in the continuous space of the momenta, angular momenta, and (or) the energies (or the corresponding quantum numbers*) and is described by a generalized diffusion equation of the Fokker-Planck type or by a system of such equations when there are discrete states [22, 23]. The fundamental condition for the applicability of the diffusion model is that the change $\Delta \varepsilon$ of a coordinate $\varepsilon$ (such as the vibrational or rotational energy, angular momentum, mass of a condensation nucleus) must be small in an elementary event, such as a vibrational or rotational transition, the attachment of a molecule to a condensation nucleus, and so on. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon \text { or } n \ll v \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $v$ is the quantum number and $n$ is its change in an elementary event). On the other hand, in relaxation problems the space of the problem (energy or the corresponding quantum number, for example) can be approximated as continuous only if the system is classical. For example, if $\varepsilon$ is the energy of an oscillator, then $\varepsilon \simeq k T$ and the classical diffusion model will be applicable if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varepsilon \ll k T \text { or } n \hbar \omega_{v} \ll k T \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \varepsilon$ is the change in the vibrational energy due to a collision; $n$ is the change in the vibrational quantum number; $\omega_{\mathrm{v}} \equiv \omega_{\mathrm{V}+1, v}$ is the frequency of the vibrational transition $v+$ $1 \rightarrow v ; T$ is the temperature; $k$ is the Boltzmann constant.

It is not difficult to see that when there are transitions between highly-excited states, the condition (1.1) is significantly less strict than (1.2). When we have transitions between low-lying states and multiquantum transitions can be neglected ( $n=1$ ), the singlequantum system of balance equations for the population densities can be written without
*In the treatment of condensation according to the theory of Zel'dovich (1942; see [2]), the process is diffusion in the "space" of the sizes of the nuclei of the condensed phase.

[^0]making any further assumptions in the form of finite-difference equations of the diffusion type in the discrete energy space [24, 25]. Such equations, which do not require the condition (1.2), and which can be used to include approximately the effect of multiquantum transitions (the quantum diffusion model) have been obtained from the complete (including multiquantum transitions) balance equations for the population densities for electronic excitation and ionization [26], VT-relaxation [24-25], and VVV'T-relaxation in a system with a small admixture of nonequilibrium molecules to a Boltzmann thermostat [27]. In [27] it was shown by comparison with the exact multiquantum calculation that the region of applicability of the quantum diffusion approximation is wide enough for it to be useful in practice. The theory gives a better account of the effect of multiquantum transitions the smaller the value of $n$ in comparison with $v$.

In the present paper we derive a system of finite-difference equations of the diffusion type (the quantum diffusion approximation) from the complete (including multiquantum transitions) balance equations for the population densities for vibrational VVV'T-relaxation in a binary gas mixture with arbitrary concentrations of the components. In the limit (1.2) the equations obtained here reduce to those of the classical diffusion model [19, 22].

1. Kinetic Equation. We consider a gas mixture* of diatomic molecules (quantum oscillators) of two kinds 1 and 2. The system is initially in a nonequilibrium state with respect to the vibrational degrees of freedom. The state of the system at time $t+$ is completely described by two one-particle distribution functions (population densities) $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{V}_{2}}$ over the vibrational states $v_{i}(i=1,2)$ or by three two-particle distribution functions corresponding to the three types of collisions ( $1-1,1-2,2-2$ ) possible in the system. These three distribution functions are denoted by $\rho_{v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}}, \rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}}$, and $\rho_{v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}}$ and they are related to $\mathrm{Xv}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{xv}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{v_{i}}=\sum_{v_{1}^{\prime}} \rho_{v_{i}, v_{1}^{\prime}}+\sum_{v_{2}^{\prime}} \rho_{v_{i}, v_{2}^{\prime}} ;  \tag{1.3}\\
& \rho_{v_{i}, v_{j}^{\prime}}=x_{v_{i} i} x_{v_{j}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \quad i, j=1,2 . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The kinetic behavior of the system can be described by two methods (to the same degree of completeness): either a system of two equations for $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{V}_{2}}$ (the balance equations of the population densities; see [28], for example):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{v_{i}}}{d t}=\sum_{v_{1}^{\prime}} c_{i 1}+\sum_{v_{2}^{\prime}} C_{i 2}, \quad i=1,2, \quad v_{i}\left(v_{j}\right)=0,1, \ldots, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or by three equations for $\rho_{v_{1}, v_{1}}, \rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}}$, and $\rho_{v_{2}, v_{2}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{{\stackrel{d o}{v_{i}, v_{j}^{\prime}}}_{d t}^{d t}}{C^{\prime}} C_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j}=\sum_{n, m \neq 0}\left(\rho_{v_{i}+n, v_{j}+m} Q_{v_{i}+n, v_{i}}^{v_{j}^{\prime}+m, v_{j}^{\prime}}-\rho_{v_{i}, v_{j}} Q_{v_{i}, v_{i}+n}^{v_{j}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime}+m}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the collision integral; $Q_{v_{i}, w_{i}, w_{j}}^{v_{j}}$ is the rate constant of the energy exchange process when a molecule of type $i$ makes a transition from state $v_{i}$ to state $w_{i}$, and a molecule of type $j$ makes a transition from state $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to state $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}}$.

Omitting the indices $i$ and $j$ in the interest of brevity, and using the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{v}(t)=x_{v}(t) / x_{v}^{0}, \quad x_{v}^{0} \equiv x_{v}(\infty), \\
& \Phi_{v, v^{\prime}}(t)=\rho_{0, v}(t) / \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0}, \quad \rho_{0, v^{\prime}}^{0} \equiv \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}(\infty), \\
& \Omega\left(v, v^{\prime} ; n, m\right)=\rho_{v, v}^{0}, Q_{v, v+n}^{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m}=\rho_{0+n, v^{\prime}+m}^{0} Q_{v+n, v^{2 \prime}}^{v^{\prime}+m, v^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we can write (1.6) in the form

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}}{d t}=\sum_{n, m}\left(\Phi_{v+n, v^{\prime}+m}-\Phi_{v, v^{\prime}}\right) \Omega\left(v, v^{\prime} ; n, m\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The following formulas are used to expand the equations into series of finite differences (see [29])

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(v+n, v^{\prime}+m\right)=f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)+n \Delta f\left(v+1, v^{\prime}\right)+ \\
+m \Delta^{\prime} f\left(v, v^{\prime}+1\right)+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \Delta^{2} f\left(v+2, v^{\prime}\right)+n m \Delta \Delta^{\prime} f\left(v+1_{i} v^{\prime}+1\right)+  \tag{1.9a}\\
+\frac{1}{2} m(m-1) \Delta^{\prime 2} f\left(v, v^{\prime}+2\right)+\ldots \\
f\left(v-n, v^{\prime}-m\right)=f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)-n \Delta f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)- \\
-m \Delta^{\prime} f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \Delta^{2} f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)+n m \Delta \Delta^{\prime} f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} m(m-1) \Delta^{\prime 2} f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)+\ldots s  \tag{1.9b}\\
\Delta f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)-f\left(v-1, v^{\prime}\right) ; \quad \Delta^{\prime} f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=f\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)-f\left(v, v^{\prime}-1\right), \Delta^{2} f=\Delta(\Delta f)
\end{gather*}
$$

which are valid in the approximations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{v+1, v} \simeq \omega_{v, v-1}, \omega_{v+n, v} \simeq n \omega_{v+1, v} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying expansion (1.9a) to the function $\Phi_{v+n, v^{\prime}+m} \equiv \Phi\left(v+n_{t} v^{\prime}+m\right.$ ) in Eq. (1.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}}{d t}=A_{1}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0}\left(\Delta \Phi_{v, v^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} \Phi_{v+1, v^{\prime}}\right)+ \\
+A_{2}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0}\left(\Delta^{\prime} \Phi_{v, v^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\prime 2} \Phi_{v, v^{\prime}+1}\right)+B_{11}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} \Delta^{2} \Phi_{v+2, v^{\prime}}+  \tag{1.11}\\
+2 B_{12}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} \Delta \Delta^{\prime} \Phi_{v+1, v^{\prime}+1}+B_{22}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} \Delta^{\prime 2} \Phi_{v, v^{\prime}+2} ; \\
A_{1}=\sum_{n, m \neq 0} n Q_{v, v+n}^{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m}, \quad A_{2}=\sum_{n, m \neq 0} m Q_{v, v^{\prime}+n}^{p^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m}, B_{11}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n, m \neq 0} n^{2} Q_{v, v^{\prime}+n}^{p^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m} \simeq \sum_{n, m \geqslant 1} n^{2} Q_{v, v^{v-n}}^{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m}, \\
B_{12}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n, m \neq 0} n m Q_{v, v^{\prime}+n}^{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m} \simeq-\sum_{n, m \geqslant 1} n m Q_{v, v^{\prime}+n}^{v^{\prime}+m},  \tag{1.12}\\
B_{22}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n, m \neq 0} m^{2} Q_{v, v+n}^{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m} \simeq \sum_{n, m \geqslant 1} m^{2} Q_{v, v^{\prime}-n}^{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $n$ and $m$ can be positive or negative, (1.6), (1.7) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}}{d t}=\sum_{n, m \neq 0}\left(\rho_{v-n, v^{\prime}-m} Q_{v-n, v}^{v \prime-m, v^{\prime}}-\rho_{v, v} Q_{v, v+n}^{v \prime} v^{\prime}+m\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the expansion (1.9b) to $\rho_{v-n, v^{\prime}-m} \equiv \rho\left(v-n, v^{\prime}-m\right)$ and $Q_{v-n, v^{\prime}}^{v^{\prime-m}, v^{\prime}} \equiv Q\left(v-n, v^{\prime}-m\right.$; $n$, m) in (1.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}}{d t}=-\Delta\left\{\Phi_{v, v^{\prime}} \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} A_{1}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\prime}\left[\Phi_{v, v^{\prime}} \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} A_{1}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\}- \\
-\Delta^{\prime}\left\{\Phi_{v, v^{\prime}} \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} A_{2}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\prime}\left[\Phi_{v, v^{\prime}} \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} A_{2}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\}+  \tag{1.14}\\
+\Delta^{2}\left[\Phi_{v, v^{\prime}} \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} B_{11}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right]+2 \Delta \Delta^{\prime}\left[\Phi_{v, v^{\prime}} \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} B_{12}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right]+\Delta^{\prime 2}\left[\Phi_{v, v^{\prime}} \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} B_{22}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

The calculation of the kinetic coefficients is a separate dynamical problem, but there is actually no need to determine $A_{k}$ and $B_{k s}$ separately, since they can be expressed in terms of one another from the condition that the flux vanish in equilibrium

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta\left[\rho^{0} A_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \Delta\left(\rho^{0} A_{1}\right)\right]-\Delta^{\prime}\left[\rho^{0} A_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\prime}\left(\rho^{0} A_{2}\right)\right]+\Delta^{2}\left(\rho^{0} B_{11}\right)+2 \Delta \Delta^{\prime}\left(\rho^{0} B_{12}\right)+\Delta^{\prime 2}\left(\rho^{0} B_{22}\right)=0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, performing term-by-term "differentiation"* on (1.4), and using (1.11) and (1.15) and the relations $\Delta^{2} f_{v+2} \simeq \Delta^{2} f_{v+1} \simeq \Delta^{2} f_{v}, \Delta \Delta^{\prime} f_{v+1, v^{\prime}+1} \simeq \Delta \Delta^{\prime} f_{v, v^{\prime}}$, we have to order $O\left(\Delta^{3} f\right)$ :

$$
\bar{*} \Delta\left(f_{v} g_{v}\right)=f_{v} \Delta g_{v}+g_{v} \Delta f_{v}-\Delta f_{v} \Delta g_{v}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \rho_{v, v^{\prime}}}{d t}=\Delta\left[\rho_{v, v}^{0} B_{11}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \Delta \Phi_{v, v^{\prime}}+\rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} B_{12}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \Delta^{\prime} \Phi_{v+1, v^{\prime}+1}\right]+  \tag{1.16}\\
& \quad+\Delta^{\prime}\left[\rho_{v, v}^{0}, b_{12}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \Delta \Phi_{v+1, v^{\prime}+1}+\rho_{v, v^{\prime}}^{0} B_{22}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \Delta^{\prime} \Phi_{v, v^{\prime}}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore in the approximations (1.1) and (1.10) and to second order in expansions of the type (1.9), the process of vibrational relaxation in a binary mixture of diatomic molecules can be described by three independent "differential" finite-difference equations of the diffusion type for $\rho_{v_{1}, v_{1}}, \rho_{v_{1}, v_{2}^{\prime}}, \rho_{v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \rho_{v_{i}, v_{j}}}{d t}=D_{i j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right), \quad i, j=1,2 \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or [in correspondence with (1.3)] by two coupled "integrodifferential" finite-difference equations of the diffusion type for $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{V}_{2}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{v_{i}}}{d t}=\sum_{v_{1}^{\prime}} D_{i 1}+\sum_{v_{2}^{\prime}} D_{i 2}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, from (1.16)

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{i j} & =\Delta\left[\rho_{v_{i}, v_{j}}^{0} B_{11}^{i j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \Delta \Phi_{v_{i}, v_{j}}+\rho_{v_{i}, v_{j}}^{0} B_{12}^{i j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \Delta^{\prime} \Phi_{v_{i}, v_{j}}\right]+  \tag{1.19}\\
& +\Delta^{\prime}\left[\rho_{v_{i}, v_{j}}^{0} B_{12}^{i j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \Delta \Phi_{v_{i}, v_{j}}+\rho_{v_{i}, v_{j}}^{0} B_{22}^{i j}\left(v_{i,}, v_{j}\right) \Delta^{\prime} \Phi_{v_{i}, v_{j}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Using the relations $\Delta\left(\sum_{\alpha} a_{a}\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} a_{\alpha} \Delta b_{\alpha+1}=-\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} b_{\alpha} \Delta a_{\alpha} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (1.18) and (1.19) finally take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x_{v_{i}}}{d t}=\sum_{j=1}^{2} \Delta J_{v_{i}}^{(j)}, \quad i=1,2, \quad v_{i}=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{v_{i}}^{(j)}=\left[\sum_{v_{j}} B_{11}^{i j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) x_{v_{j}}^{0} \varphi_{v_{j}}\right] x_{i_{i}}^{0} \Delta \varphi_{v_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}^{0} \varphi_{v_{i}-1} \sum_{v_{j}} \varphi_{v_{j}} \Delta^{\prime}\left[x_{v_{j}}^{0} i_{12}^{i j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right] \tag{1.22a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{v_{i}}^{(j)}=\left[\sum_{v_{j}} B_{11}^{i j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) x_{v_{j}}\right]\left(x_{v_{i}}-\frac{x_{v_{i}}^{0}}{x_{v_{i}-1}^{0}} x_{v_{i}-1}\right)-\frac{x_{v_{i}}^{0}}{x_{v_{i}-1}^{0}} x_{v_{i}-1} \times  \tag{1.22b}\\
& \times \sum_{v_{j}}\left[B_{12}^{i j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)-\frac{x_{v_{j}-1}^{0}}{x_{v_{j}}^{0}} B_{12}^{i j}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}-1\right)\right] x_{v_{j}}, \quad v_{j}=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

It is not difficult to see the advantage of the diffusion formulation of the kinetic equations for the $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{V}_{i}}$ (or $\varphi_{0_{i}}$ ): the coupling between the equations for the different components is confined to the coefficients of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{V}_{i}}$ (or $\varphi_{0_{i}}$ ) and is in the form of an average over the entire spectrum. Hence approximate analytical methods of solution developed in the single-quantum case can be applied, as well as the method of trials for numerical solution; this is quite significant when the number of equations is large.
2. Equation for the Average Energies in a System of Harmonic Oscillators. Introducing the normalization $\sum_{v_{i}} x_{v_{i}}=\gamma_{i}$, we define the average energy $E_{i}$ of the molecules of type $i$ by the relation $\sum_{v_{i}} v_{i} x_{v_{i}}=\gamma_{i} E_{i}$. Multiplying (1.21) by $v_{i}$ and summing over $v_{i}$, we have, using (1.20)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{i} \frac{d E_{i}}{d t}=-\sum_{j, v_{i}} J_{v_{i}}^{(j)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For harmonic oscillators

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{v_{i}}^{0}=\gamma_{i}\left(E_{i}^{0}\right)^{v_{i}} /\left(1+E_{i}^{0}\right)^{v_{i}+1}\left(E_{i}^{0} \equiv E_{i}(\infty)=\mathrm{e}^{-\theta_{i}}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\left.-\theta_{i}\right)^{-1}}, \quad \theta_{i}=\hbar \omega_{i} / k T\right) ;\right.  \tag{2.2}\\
Q_{v_{i}-n, v_{i}}^{v_{j}, v_{j}-m}=\frac{v_{i}!}{\left(v_{i}-n\right)!n!} \frac{v_{j}!}{\left(v_{j}-m\right)!m!} Q_{0 n}^{m 0} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

For times $t \gg \tau_{1}^{V V}$, where $\tau_{1}^{V V}$ is the characteristic time of single-quantum VV-exchange (the time required to establish a quasi-Boltzmann distribution in each of the components),

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{v_{i}}=\gamma_{i} E_{i}^{v_{i}} /\left(1+E_{i}\right)^{v_{i}+1} \tag{2,4}
\end{equation*}
$$

After summing the right-hand side of (2.1) over $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, we find, with the use of relations (2.2) through (2.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d E_{i}}{d t}=\sum_{j=1}^{2} \gamma_{j} \sum_{n, m \geqslant 1} n Q_{n 0}^{0 m}(i, j) \frac{E_{i}^{0} E_{i}^{n-1}\left(1+E_{i}\right)\left(1+E_{j}\right)^{m}}{1+E_{i}^{0}} \times\left[\frac{n\left(E_{i}^{0}-E_{i}\right)}{E_{i}^{0}\left(1+E_{i}\right)}-\frac{m\left(E_{j}^{0}-E_{j}\right)}{E_{j}^{0}\left(1+E_{j}\right)}\right], \quad i=1,2 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations describe the relaxation of the average energies in a binary mixture of diatomic molecules (harmonic oscillators) of types 1 and 2 . When $m, n>1$, these equations are approximate* [in the same sense as the approximate diffusion equations (1.21) and (1.22)]. Single-quantum exchange processes are described by (2.5) exactly, as expected. Indeed, when $\mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{~m}=0$ (single-quantum VT-exchange) we have

$$
\frac{d E_{i}}{d t}=\left(E_{i}^{0}-E_{i}\right)\left(1+E_{i}^{0}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \gamma_{j} Q_{10}(i, j), \quad i=1,2
$$

and when $n=m=1$ (single-quantum $V^{\prime}$-exchange)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d E_{i}}{d t}=\gamma_{j} Q_{10}^{01}(i, j)\left[\frac{E_{i}^{0}}{1+E_{i}^{0}} \frac{1+E_{j}^{0}}{E_{j}^{0}}\left(1+E_{i}\right) E_{j}-E_{i}\left(1+E_{j}\right)\right]  \tag{2.6}\\
i, j=1,2, \quad i \neq j
\end{gather*}
$$

and these equations agree with the corresponding equations of [28, 31], obtained directly from the single-quantum balance equations for the population densities (see also [30]).

In a system of harmonic oscillators, single-quantum VV-exchange between molecules of the same kind do not change the $E_{i}$ [and therefore the terms with $i=j$ are equal to zero in (2.6)], and the only possible result in the case when VV-exchange is fast in comparison with VT-exchange ( $\tau_{1} \mathrm{VV} \tau_{1} \mathrm{~V}$ ) is the establishment of the quasistationary distribution (2.4). In the general case of anharmonic oscillators, conservation of the number of vibrational quanta in single-quantum $V V$-exchange $\left(\sum_{v_{i}} J_{v_{i}}^{(i)}=0\right)$ implies that $\varphi_{v+1} / \varphi_{v}=c=\mathrm{const}$, and we then have the well-known Treanor distribution $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{x}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{V}} \exp \left(-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{V}} / \mathrm{kT}\right)$.
3. Classical Limit of the Kinetic Equations. In the framework of the approximation (1.10), Eqs. (1.17) and (1.19) transform in the classical limit ( $\hbar \omega_{0} / k T \ll 1$ ) t to a system of three two-dimensional differential equations of the diffusion type:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \rho_{i j}}{\partial t}=\operatorname{div} J^{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2, \\
J_{\varepsilon}^{i j}=\rho_{i j}^{0} B_{11}^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}\left(\rho_{i j} / \rho_{i j}^{0}\right)-\rho_{i j}^{0} B_{12}^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{i j} / \rho_{i j}^{0}\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
J_{e^{\prime}}^{i j}=\rho_{i j}^{0} B_{12}^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}\left(\rho_{i j} / \rho_{i j}^{0}\right)-\rho_{i j}^{0} B_{22}^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon^{\prime}}\left(\rho_{i j} / \rho_{i j}^{0}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\rho_{i j}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}, t\right)=f_{i}(\varepsilon, t) f_{j}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, t\right) ; \rho_{i j}^{0}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}, t\right)=f_{i}(\varepsilon, \infty) f_{j}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \infty\right) ; f_{i}(\varepsilon, t)$ is the classical distribution function of molecules of type $i$ over the vibrational energies $\varepsilon$; $J_{\varepsilon}^{i j}$ and $J_{\varepsilon}^{i j}$ are the components of the diffusion flux vector $J^{i j}$ in the space of the energies $\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ of the interacting molecules; the coefficients $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ks}}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ are given by the relations

$$
B_{11}^{i j}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(\hbar \omega_{p}\right)^{2}}{2} \sum_{n, m \neq 0} n^{2} Q_{v, v^{\prime}+n}^{p^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m}(i, j)=\frac{\left\langle(\Delta \varepsilon)^{2}\right\rangle}{2 \tau_{i j}} i
$$

*The corresponding exact equations are obtained in [30].
$\dagger$ For more detail, see [24, 25], where the limiting procedure was carried through for VT-exchange.

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{12}^{i j}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{\hbar \omega_{v} \hbar \omega_{v^{\prime}}}{2} \sum_{n, m \neq 0} n m Q_{v, v+n}^{v \prime}, v^{\prime}+m \\
& (i, j)=\frac{\left\langle\Delta \varepsilon \Delta \varepsilon^{\prime}\right\rangle}{2 \tau_{i j}}, \\
B_{22}^{i j}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\frac{\left(\hbar \omega_{v^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}{2} \sum_{n, m \neq 0} m^{2} Q_{v, v+n}^{v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}+m}(i, j)=\frac{\left\langle\left(\Delta \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\rangle}{2 \tau_{i j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and are in the form of moments of the transferred energies averaged with respect to the parameters of the collision; $\tau i j$ is the mean time between collisions of a molecule of type in a gas of molecules of type $j$.

In the classical limit, Eqs. (1.21) and (1.22) transform into a system of two integrodifferential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}(\varepsilon, t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \int\left(J_{\varepsilon}^{i 1}+J_{\varepsilon}^{i 2}\right) d \varepsilon^{\prime}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be obtained from (3.1) with the help of the relation $f_{i}(\varepsilon, t)=\int \rho_{i_{1}}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}, t\right) d \varepsilon^{\prime}+$ $\int \rho_{i 2}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}, t\right) d \varepsilon^{\prime}, i=1,2$, which is the classical analog of (1.3).

Equations (3.1) and (3.2) were obtained in [19] directly from the Boltzmann kinetic equations with the use of the weak interaction condition ( $\left.\Delta \varepsilon, \Delta \varepsilon^{\prime} \ll \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$.
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QUASISTATIONARY RELAXATION AND GAS-DYNAMIC PHENOMENA IN A ONE-COMPONENT SYSTEM OF EXCITED ANHARMONIC OSCILLATORS
V. P. Silakov and A. V. Chebotarev
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At the present time the kinetic theory of vibrational relaxation of molecular gases is the most developed branch of physical kinetics. Among important achievements in this field one has to include the development of general methods of description of systems far from equilibrium [1, 2]. In such cases the anharmonicity of the molecular vibration shows a substantial influence on the behavior of the medium. Until now theoretical analysis of vibrational relaxation of systems of anharmonic oscillators was carried out basically for gases at rest.

In addition, due to advances in the physics of nonequilibrium discharge phenomena it became clear that the correct description of the behavior of strongly excited gases should take into account their relaxational motion. Indeed, as an example, such motion can markedly influence the conditions of vibrational relaxation of gases after the discharge [3], and in fast-flow discharge arrangements it can lead to the breakdown of the pumping regime of the vibrational degrees of freedom of the gaseous mixtures [4]. For a theoretical consideration of a selected class of problems one has to complete a substantial amount of computational
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[^1]:    \#The gas is sufficiently rarefied such that trinary collisions can be neglected. tt is assumed to be larger than the characteristic lifetimes of the nondiagonal elements of the density matrix.
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